

cm<sup>2</sup>/V.sec, we obtain an electron concentration of  $10^{16}$  to  $10^{20}$ . For such carrier concentrations we ought easily to have been able to determine the Hall constant, since for magnetic fields of  $H = 22,000$  Oe and a current of 1 A in a sample 0.1 cm thick the Hall emf  $E = 1.4 \cdot 10^{-2}$  V, since for.....the Hall constant  $R = 6.3$  cm<sup>3</sup>/C. We could easily have measured a value of  $E = 1.4 \cdot 10^{-2}$  V, since our apparatus had a sensitivity of  $2 \cdot 10^{-8}$  V.

Fig. 1.

Fig. 2. .... .

Key

1) Oe

3. Effect of a Magnetic Field on the Electrical Resistance of Chromium Sulfides. Measurements of this effect showed that, ~~.....~~ for compositions of <sup>50 to 56</sup> ~~...~~ at.% S<sub>x</sub> <sup>, ΔR/R</sup> had an extremely small value, beyond the sensitivity of our apparatus. <sup>Exceptions were</sup> ~~.....~~ the chromium sulfides with a sulfur excess (58 to 59 at.%), for which we were able to measure the change of resistance in a magnetic field ; however, .....had a negative sign, i.e., it behaved anomalously (see Fig. 2).

The only previous example of a fall in resistance in a magnetic field was tellurium (a semimetal), as indicated by R. A. Chentsov /3/.

The immeasurably small values of the Hall effect in chromium sulfides with sulfur contents of.....at.% and also the absence of any influence of magnetic field on the electrical resistance of